

WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION  
STATE OF COLORADO

---

REBUTTAL STATEMENT OF  
CHATFIELD WATERSHED AUTHORITY

---

IN THE MATTER OF PROPOSED ADOPTION OF REVISIONS TO THE BASIC STANDARDS AND METHODOLOGIES FOR SURFACE WATER (REGULATION NO. 31); REVISIONS TO CLASSIFICATIONS AND NUMERIC STANDARDS FOR ARKANSAS RIVER BASIN (REGULATION NO. 32), UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN AND NORTH PLATTE RIVER (PLANNING REGION 12) (REGULATION NO. 33), SAN JUAN RIVER AND DOLORES RIVER BASINS (REGULATION NO. 34), GUNNISON AND LOWER DOLORES RIVER BASINS (REGULATION NO. 35), RIO GRANDE BASIN (REGULATION NO. 36), LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN (REGULATION NO. 37), AND SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN, LARAMIE RIVER BASIN, REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN, SMOKY HILL RIVER BASIN (REGULATION NO. 38); REVISIONS TO NUTRIENTS MANAGEMENT CONTROL REGULATION (REGULATION NO. 85)

---

Chatfield Watershed Authority (“CWA”), by and through its undersigned counsel, Somach Simmons & Dunn, P.C., submits this Rebuttal Statement (“Rebuttal”) for the above-captioned matter to the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (the “Commission”).

**I. Introduction**

As described in CWA’s responsive prehearing statement (“RPHS”), CWA opposes the Colorado Water Quality Control Division’s (the “Division”) plan to apply the proposed cold-water reservoir table value standard for total nitrogen (“TN”) of 380 ug/L to Chatfield Reservoir beginning in 2023. Alternatively, CWA respectfully requests to develop a site-specific TN standard for Chatfield Reservoir pursuant to Section 31.7(1)(b)(iii) of Regulation No. 31. CWA proposes to develop the site-specific standard once CWA has completed work on its revised total maximum allocated load (“TMAL”), which is expected to occur in 2026. CWA further requests that the Commission refrain from imposing any TN standard on Chatfield Reservoir prior to the development of an appropriate site-specific standard.

This Rebuttal also responds to responsive prehearing statements filed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) and the Plum Creek Water Reclamation Authority (“PCWRA”), both of which address the Division’s proposal as it relates to Chatfield Reservoir.

## **II. Response to EPA**

EPA specifically discusses in its responsive prehearing statement ("EPA's RPHS") the Division's proposal for Chatfield Reservoir and requests that the Division reevaluate the proposed TN standard because the cold-water reservoir table value TN standard was not calculated from the site-specific chlorophyll a standard currently in place for Chatfield Reservoir. EPA's RPHS, pgs. 4, 21. CWA appreciates EPA pointing out that Chatfield Reservoir already has approved site-specific numeric standards for both chlorophyll a and phosphorus. The unique conditions of Chatfield Reservoir that supported the development of site-specific standards in 2009 continue to this day and further support CWA's request for a site-specific TN standard for Chatfield Reservoir rather than the Division's proposal to impose a table value standard on Chatfield Reservoir.

EPA also asserts that "[a]ny standard that is adopted as a result of this rulemaking action would be subject to the triennial review requirement," which means that "fine-tuning changes can be made to the standards over time as new scientific information becomes available." EPA's RPHS, pg. 21. CWA disagrees. Seeking to "fine-tune" changes over time means that the regulated community is, in the meantime, subjected to regulation under standards that were inappropriate from the start. This approach also imposes significant costs on wastewater providers upstream of Chatfield Reservoir in order to attempt to comply with nutrient standards that EPA itself seems to suggest may be subject to increase in the future. The better course is to enact a nutrient standard that is supported by the science and data and is realistically attainable while still protective of water quality (even if that means delaying imposition of the standard) rather than imposing a standard that is not scientifically supported, not realistically attainable, and not proven necessary to protect water quality and public uses. Further, CWA is concerned about the negative public perception that might arise from CWA or others seeking to increase Chatfield Reservoir's TN standard in the future, even if the science and data support such a change.

## **III. Response to PCWRA**

PCWRA alleges in its responsive prehearing statement ("PCWRA's RPHS") that the Division's proposed nitrogen standard for Chatfield Reservoir is unnecessary "because Chatfield Reservoir attains the site-specific chlorophyll a standard, indicating that the aquatic life and recreation uses are currently attained as a result of phosphorus control under Regulation 73." PCWRA's RPHS, pg. 1. PCWRA argues that "the Commission should allow time for stakeholders to propose a site-specific standard." *Id.* at 2. CWA agrees with PCWRA in this regard.

PCWRA recommends deferring "consideration of the Division's proposed total nitrogen standard for Chatfield Reservoir until the next basin hearing in 2025," at which point "the Commission would be in a position to review a site-specific proposal for nitrogen for

Chatfield Reservoir.” *Id.* at 7. CWA appreciates PCWRA’s request for a delayed imposition of a TN standard on Chatfield Reservoir. However, as indicated in CWA’s RPHS, CWA has limited resources and is currently tasked with revising its TMAL, which is expected to be completed in 2026. Accordingly, CWA reiterates its request that the Commission delay imposition of a TN standard on Chatfield Reservoir until CWA has the resources to complete its revised TMAL and calculate a site-specific TN standard for Chatfield Reservoir that is supported by available data.

In addition, PCWRA is a dues-paying member of CWA, and CWA relies on member dues to support its operations and water quality improvement projects. As such, the enormous cost that PCWRA estimates it would incur to comply with the Division’s proposal to implement the cold-water reservoir table value standard on Chatfield Reservoir is of particular concern to CWA. Specifically, PCWRA estimates that compliance with the Division’s proposed TN standard for Chatfield Reservoir would cost “nearly \$207 million in capital costs and over \$7.7 million per year in operational costs.” *Id.* at 1. CWA agrees with PCWRA that “the current phosphorus standard and chlorophyll a standard for Chatfield Reservoir protect the aquatic life and recreation uses, including the public swim beach” and that the voluntary incentive program described in PCWRA’s RPHS provides an existing mechanism to “prevent increases in nitrogen to Chatfield Reservoir between now and the development of an appropriate site-specific standard for nitrogen.” *Id.* at 7. As such, CWA appreciates and joins PCWRA’s request for a delayed site-specific TN standard for Chatfield Reservoir.

#### **IV. Conclusion**

The Commission should reject the Division’s proposal to impose the proposed cold-water table value TN standard on Chatfield Reservoir beginning in 2023 and instead allow CWA to develop a site-specific TN standard once CWA has completed work on its revised TMAL. Chatfield Reservoir is one of only four reservoirs in Colorado subject to a control regulation promulgated by the Commission pursuant to C.R.S. § 25-8-205, and CWA has been working to improve the water quality in Chatfield Reservoir for nearly four decades.

CWA reiterates the concern discussed in its RPHS that Chatfield Reservoir does not fit neatly into the Division’s proposed categorization of the state’s reservoirs as either warm water or cold water and asserts that the existing site-specific standards for chlorophyll a and phosphorus for Chatfield Reservoir support CWA’s request for a delayed site-specific TN standard and also are sufficiently protective of water quality to protect the public uses of the reservoir, as evidenced by CWA’s ongoing compliance with its chlorophyll a standard.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of February, 2023.

SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, P.C.



---

Michael W. Daugherty, #49074  
Sarah A. Klahn, #28706  
SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, P.C.  
1155 Canyon Blvd, Suite 110  
Boulder, CO 80302  
Telephone: (303) 449-2834  
[mdaugherty@somachlaw.com](mailto:mdaugherty@somachlaw.com)  
[sklahn@somachlaw.com](mailto:sklahn@somachlaw.com)

*ATTORNEYS FOR CHATFIELD WATERSHED  
AUTHORITY*